

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/629,642	Applicant(s) EVANS, JOHN W.	
	Examiner Necholus Ogden	Art Unit 1751	

All Participants:

(1) Necholus Ogden.

(2) Timothy Levstik.
Status of Application: non-final

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 16 March 2005
Time: 8:35
Type of Interview:
☐ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☒ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☒ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

103

Claims discussed:

all

Prior art documents discussed:


Evans or Mascioli et al or Uekusa et al or Reny or Dingley

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Proposed claims with declaration or evidence with lack of motivation may distinguish the claims over the prior art of record.
Applicant is encouraged to speak to motivation of adding additives to any coolant system.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

